# Lecture 14: Einstein Summation Convention

- "In any expression containing subscripted variables appearing twice (and only twice) in any term, the subscripted variables are assumed to be summed over."
- ullet e.g. Scalar Product  $_{i=3}$

$$\vec{a}.\vec{b} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} a_i b_i = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + a_3 b_3$$

• will now be written



Good practice to use Greek letters for these dummy indices

- In this lecture we will work in 3D so summation is assumed to be 1 3 but can be generalized to *N* dimensions
- Note dummy indices do not appear in the 'answer'. c.f.

$$I = \int f(\theta) d\theta = \int f(x) dx$$
 where  $\theta, x$  are dummy variables

### Examples

Total Differential

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}dy + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}dz \equiv \vec{\nabla}.\vec{dr}$$

becomes

$$\mathrm{d}f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\theta}} \mathrm{d}x_{\theta}$$

where 
$$x_{\alpha} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv (x, y, z)$$

and 'free index'  $\alpha$  runs from 1-3 here (or 1-N in and N-dimensional case)

• Matrix multiplication  $\tilde{A} = \tilde{B}.\tilde{C}$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{k} (b_{ik})(c_{kj}) \equiv b_{i\theta} c_{\theta j}$$

Note the same 2 free indices on each side of the equation

Trace of a matrix

$$A_{11} + A_{22} + \dots A_{nn} \equiv A_{\theta\theta}$$

Vector Product

need to define "alternating tensor"

$$\epsilon_{ijk}$$
 a set of 27 numbers  $\epsilon_{111}, \epsilon_{112}...$ 

 $\epsilon_{ijk} = 0$  if any 2 indices are same

21 cases of zero!

$$\epsilon_{ijk} = +1 \text{ if } (i, j, k) \text{ are } (1, 2, 3) \text{ in cyclic order}$$
 3 cases

$$\epsilon_{ijk} = -1 \text{ if } (i, j, k) \text{ are } (2, 1, 3) \text{ in cyclic order}$$
 3 cases

then (it's not that hard, honest!)

$$[\vec{a} \times \vec{b}]_i \equiv \epsilon_{i\theta\phi} a_\theta b_\phi$$

 There is a "simple" relation between the alternating tensor and the Kronecker delta

$$\epsilon_{\theta jk}\epsilon_{\theta lm} = \delta_{jl}\delta_{km} - \delta_{jm}\delta_{lk}$$

- The proof is simply the evaluation of all 81 cases! (although symmetry arguments can make this easier).
- If you can get the hang of this, this provides the fastest and most reliable method of proving vector identities
- Once written down in this form, the order of the terms only matters if they include differential operators (which only act on things to the righthand-side of them).

## Example (ABACAB)

$$[\vec{a} \times (\vec{b} \times \vec{c})]_m = \epsilon_{m\alpha\beta} a_\alpha \underbrace{\epsilon_{\beta\gamma\delta} b_\gamma c_\delta}$$

β-th component of 
$$\Vec{b} imes \Vec{c}$$

$$= \epsilon_{\beta m\alpha} \epsilon_{\beta\gamma\delta} a_{\alpha} b_{\gamma} c_{\delta} = (\delta_{m\gamma} \delta_{\alpha\delta} - \delta_{m\delta} \delta_{\alpha\gamma}) a_{\alpha} b_{\gamma} c_{\delta}$$

$$= (a_{\alpha}c_{\alpha})b_m - (a_{\alpha}b_{\alpha})c_m = \left[ (\vec{a}.\vec{c})\vec{b} - (\vec{a}.\vec{b})\vec{c} \right]_m$$

- Which constitutes a compact proof of the (hopefully familiar) "ABACAB" formula
- Note we have made much use of the 'obvious' identity

$$\delta_{i\theta}a_{\theta} = a_i$$

## Further Examples

• curl (grad) 
$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \phi) = 0$$
 
$$\epsilon_{m\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_\beta} = 0$$

because this term is anti-symmetric (changes sign if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are swopped)

but this term symmetric (stays same if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are swopped)

so terms 'cancel in pairs'

• div (curl) 
$$\vec{\nabla}.(\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{A})=0$$
 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\theta}}\epsilon_{\theta}\alpha_{\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}A_{\beta}=\epsilon_{\theta}\alpha_{\beta}\frac{\partial^{2}A_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\theta}\partial x_{\alpha}}=0 \qquad \text{as above}$$

Trace of the unit matrix

$$\delta_{\theta\theta} = \delta_{11} + \delta_{22} + \delta_{33} = 3$$

### Final Example

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = \vec{\nabla}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) - \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{A}$$
where 
$$\vec{\nabla}^2 (A_x, A_y, A_z) \equiv (\nabla^2 A_x, \nabla^2 A_y, \nabla^2 A_z)$$

$$\epsilon_{m\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \epsilon_{\beta\theta\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\theta} A_\phi = \epsilon_{\beta m\alpha} \epsilon_{\beta\theta\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\theta} A_\phi$$

$$= (\delta_{m\theta} \delta_{\alpha\phi} - \delta_{m\phi} \delta_{\alpha\theta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\theta} A_\phi$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} A_\alpha - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} A_m$$

$$= \left[ \vec{\nabla}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) - \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{A} \right]_{m}$$
 QED

It's not as hard as it first looks!